Case 16-39971 Doc 36 Filed 10/25/20 Entered 10/25/20 11:32:01 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 7

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

) No. 16 B 39971	
In re	Jose Servin) Chapter 13	
) Hon A. Benjamin Goldgar	
Debtor	•) Hearing Date: 10/30/20 @ 11 a	am

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: Freeborn & Peters, LLP, 311 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 300, Chicago, IL 60606 Via email - msyvertsen@freeborn.com and bciarroni@freeborn.com

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 30, 2020 at 11:00 a.m., or as soon as counsel may be heard, I shall appear before the Honorable A. Benjamin Goldgar or any judge sitting instead in Courtroom B of the Park City Branch Courthouse at 301 S. Greenleaf Ct., Park City, IL 60085, via Zoom, and then and there present the attached Second Motion to Enforce the Post-Discharge Injunction and for Contempt Sanctions pursuant to 11 USC 105(a) and Shorten Notice.

/s/Gary N. Foley Gary N. Foley(6237076)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above-noted motion was filed and sent via email to whom it is directed above, as well as served through PACER ECM ECF electronically before the hour of 5:00 p.m. on October 26, 2020.

/s/Gary N. Foley Gary N. Foley(6237076)

Gary N. Foley 6237076 The Law Office of Gary N. Foley 3913 Farmington Lane Johnsburg, Illinois 60051 GARYFOLEY@HOTMAIL.COM 847-338-7179 Case 16-39971 Doc 36 Filed 10/25/20 Entered 10/25/20 11:32:01 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 7

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

) No. 16 B 39971
In re	Jose Servin) Chapter 13
) Hon A. Benjamin Goldgar
Debtor	•) Hearing Date: 1/10/20 @ 11:45 am)

JOSE SERVIN'S SECOND MOTION TO ENFORCE THE POST-DISCHARGE INJUNCTION UNDER 11
USC 524 & FOR A FINDING OF "CONTEMPT" UNDER 11 USC 105 (a) FOR THE CREDITOR-EAGLE
FENCE, INC'S CONTINUED DEFIANCE OF 11 USC 524, AS WELL AS THIS COURT'S LAST ORDER
DATED MARCH 16, 2020, ENJOINING THE FORMER ADVERSARY CREDITOR EAGLE FENCE, INC.
FROM ANY FURTHER EFFORTS AT COLLECTION AGAINST JOSE SERVIN, OR JOSE SERVIN'S
PROPERTY, UNDER 11 USC 524

NOW COMES Jose Servin ("Debtor") and moves this Court for a Second Order from this Honorable Court again definitively Finding, Ruling, and Ordering that the Post-Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. 524, prevents any further collection activities by Eagle Fence Distributing, LLC ("Eagle Fence"), against Jose Servin, or any property he, or his wife owns, under 11 USC 524. In support of his motion Jose Servin, by counsel, respectfully represent as follows:

- 1. The Debtor filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, on *December 21, 2016*, almost 4 years ago. This case was docketed as case *16-39971*. See Docket for 16-39971 attached as Exhibit 1.
- 2. On Feb. 22, 2017 the Debtor formed a Corporation, *Premier Fence, Inc.* because *Universal Fence, Inc.* had a "Joint & Several Judgment for \$107,010.39" dated July 1, 2015 with Jose Servin in state case number 15 L 169. See IL SOS Detail Report attached as Exhibit 2.
- 3. Unfortunately, *Eagle Fence* waited until June 30, 2017 to file an Adversary Complaint, *17-00356*, objecting to the Debtor's discharge under both *11 U.S.C.* 523 & 727. See BK Adversary Docket attached as Exhibit 3.
- 4. However, on July 6, 2017 a "Standard Discharge" was entered on this case. See Ch. 7 Standard Discharge Order dated July 6, 2017 for 16-39971 attached as Exhibit 4.
- 5. Strangely, during the pendency of the Adversary case, Debtor and *Eagle Fence* entered into a titled "Settlement Agreement" dated Feb. ?, 2018, wherein Debtor acknowledged "that the Judgment and the obligations arising under this Settlement Agreement constitute a non-dischargeable debt are non-dischargeable under

Case 16-39971 Doc 36 Filed 10/25/20 Entered 10/25/20 11:32:01 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 7

applicable bankruptcy law, and acknowledges that such debts and any payments due thereunder may not be discharged in this or any future bankruptcy case." See Exhibit 5- Feb. ?, 2018 Settlement Agreement.

- 6. The "Settlement Agreement" also required Debtor to pay \$86,380.34 to Eagle Fence in monthly installments of \$700, plus reasonable costs, attorneys' fees and interest accruing at the rate of 10% per annum.
- 7. After entering into the "Settlement Agreement", Eagle Fence moved for Dismissal of the Adversary case on February 28, 2018. The motion to dismiss the Adversary case did not incorporate, or attach, the above referenced "Settlement Agreement" for review, and/or approval as a "Reaffirmation Agreement" in the underlying Chapter 7 Bankruptcy case, or as an "exception to Discharge" under either 11 USC 523 or 524 in the underlying Creditor Adversary case.
- 8. As a result, unfortunately for the underlying Creditor, *Eagle Fence*, *Inc.*, on March 23, 2018, this Court granted *Eagle Fence's* own careless, negligent, and shortsighted Motion to Dismiss the Adversary and Dismissed With Prejudice the Creditor Adversary Proceeding without exception. *A copy of the March 23, 2018 Dismissal Order With Prejudice is attached as Exhibit 6.* Fortunately, for the Debtor, the March 23, 2018 Order does not contain *any statement* that the debt owed to *Eagle Fence* is excepted from the Debtor's Discharge, or Bankruptcy, in any way, shape, or form under either *11 USC 523, 524, or 727*.
- 9. Mr. Servin was forced to file a Post Discharge Motion To Enforce The Post Discharge Injunction under 11 USC 524 just this past Jan. 3, 2020 to stop Eagle Fence, Inc. from doing almost exactly what it is foolhardily trying to do now-trying to once again enforce it's July 1, 2020 Judgment against Jose Servin despite Judge Goldgar's March 16, 2020 Order granting Mr. Servin's Motion under 11 USC 524 as to this Creditor trying to enforce this Citation against Jose Servin just last December 2019!
- 10. In Affirmative Defense to that 11 USC 524 Motion Freeborn & Peters filed a "Response" dated Jan. 31, 2020, less than 9 months ago, arguing that the Feb.?, 2018 "Settlement Agreement" was really either a "Reaffirmation Agreement" in compliance with 11 USC 524, or constituted a valid Exception To Discharge Judgment Order under 11 USC 523 or 727 in 17-00356. See Eagle Fence Jan. 30, 2020 Response attached as Exhibit 7.

- 11. On March 16, 2020 Bankruptcy Chief Judge Goldgar unequivocally granted *Jose Servin's* Jan. 3, 2020 Motion To Enforce the Post Discharge Injunction against *Eagle Fence's* collection efforts against *Jose Servin* and his property. *See March 16, 2020 Order attached as Exhibit 8*.
- March 16, 2020 Order *Eagle Fence* has once again a third time resumed collection efforts against Debtor, and his property, in State Court, and collected over \$7,700 in clear violation of the *11 USC 524 Post Discharge Injection*, the *res judicata & Collateral Estoppell* effect of the March 23, 2018 Voluntary Dismissal of the *17-00356*Adversary Action by *Eagle Fence, Inc.*, and most tellingly and importantly Chief Judge Goldgar's unequivocal March 16, 2020 Court Order barring *Eagle Fence, Inc.*, from doing so.

See Eagle Fence's Motion To Turnover Assets and Enter Judgment against Premier Fence, Inc., owned 100% by Jose Servin since Feb. 22, 2017 attached as Exhibit 9.

- 13. This court may also recall that the underlying *Citation To Discover Assets* was stayed while this last round of BK litigation was going on as indicated in the *Lake*County Case History Report attached as Ex. 10.
- 14. This is really *Freeborn & Peters* cleverly trying to have a "Fifth Bite at the Proverbial Apple" where they:
 - a. One, obtained a Judgment in state court in Lake County against *Jose Servin*, individually;
 - b. Two, entered a mistaken voidable "Reaffirmation Agreement" in Feb. ?, 2018, titled "Settlement Agreement" in BK Court that was determined to be unenforceable because it was unfortunately for **Eagle Fence**, **Inc.**, their client, neither a "Reaffirmation Agreement" nor a "Agreed Judgment Order Excepting the Judgment Debt from Discharge under 11 USC 524;
 - c. Three, voluntarily dismissed the *11 USC 523 & 727* Adversary against *Jose Servin* and his property filed on June 30, 2017-*17-A-00356*" on March 23, 2018;
 - d. Four, resumed their collection efforts against *Jose Servin* and his property in 2019 in state Court case 15 L 169 via a Citation Proceeding against *Jose Servin* and forced *Jose Servin* to file a *Jan. 3, 2020 11 USC 524 Motion To Enforce the Post Discharge Injunction* which was unequivocally granted by Judge Goldgar on March 16, 2020;

- e. Five, resumed their collection efforts against *Jose Servin* and his property once again on August 11, 2020 in state court case *15 L 169* as indicated above.
- 15. Freeborn & Peters LLP should really retain conflict counsel to represent

 Eagle Fence, Inc. in light of the Illinois Rules of Professional Conduct in light of their apparent negligent failure to either enter a "Reaffirmation Agreement" or "Agreed Exception From Discharge Order" in the underlying Adversary case- 17-A-00356 proceeding in Bankruptcy Court, which they obviously carelessly and negligently dismissed voluntarily.
- 16. What is really going on here is *Freeborn & Peters LLP* appears to be trying to rectify their obvious malpractice in negligently and carelessly entering into a "Settlement Agreement" on behalf of their client, *Eagle Fence, Inc.* that they mistakenly and carelessly thought was either a "Reaffirmation Agreement" in *Jose Servin's* Bankruptcy, and then compounded that error, by entering a Voluntary Dismissal in the Adversary case, when they should have instead clearly have entered an Agreed Judgment Order excepting the amount agreed to in the titled "Settlement Agreement" from Discharge in Jose Servin's Adversary case-17-00356 on March 23, 2018 rather than merely a Dismissal Order With Prejudice, which they carelessly chose to do instead.
- This was painfully and aptly pointed out to them by *Bankruptcy Chief Judge Judge Goldgar* on March 16, 2020 in his Order granting Mr. Youra's prior motion, but instead of admitting their mistake and informing their client to obtain outside counsle regarding their clear malpractice, they are instead pursuing this fatally flawed course of action in defiance of the Bankruptcy Court's clear directive and Order that *Jose Servin*, and his property are protected from this State Court Judgment obtained by *Eagle Fence*, *Inc.* in state court case *15 L 169* under Federal Law pursuant to *11 USC 524* (a)(1),(2), &(3).

18. This unabated and continuous attempts by *Eagle Fence*, *Inc.* & their attorneys *Freeeborn* & *Peters LLP* at trying to collect a previously discharged debt, and previously dismissed Adversary with Prejudice, and previously granted Motion To Enforce the Post Discharge Injunction under *11 USC 524* constitute continued unreasonable and unjustified contempt of this court's prior orders and therefore this court should find *Eagle Fence*, *Inc.* & their attorneys *Freeeborn & Peters LLP* in civil contempt of court pursuant to this courts equitable powers under *11 USC 105* (a) as set forth in *Taggart v. Lorenzen*, *139 S. Ct. 1795*, *1799 (2019)*.

Wherefore, Jose Servin requests that this court once again hold that Eagle Fence, Inc. cannot continue to blatantly and contemptuously without violate this court's:

- A. July 6, 2017 Discharge Order;
- B. March 23, 2018 Dismissal With Prejudice Adversary Order in 17-00356;
- C. March 16, 2020 Order granting *Jose Servin's* Motion To Enforce the Post Discharge Injunction under *11 USC 524* against *Eagle Fence, Inc.'s* continued attempts at collection of it's State Court Judgment from *July 1, 2015* against *Jose Servin* and his property,

under 11 USC 524 (a)(1),(2), &(3), under this courts July 6, 2017 Discharge Order, as well as this court's *Dismissal with Prejudice Order* in Adversary case 17-00356, as well as this courts *March 16*, 2020 Order granting Jose Servin's Jan. 3, 2020 Motion To Enforce the 11 USC 524 Post Discharge Injunction; and find that Eagle Fence, Inc. & their attorney's- Freeborn & Peters LLP's Post July 6, 2017 Discharge, Post March 23, 2018 Dismissal of Adversary 17 -00356, and Post Discharge Injunction Order enforcing the Post Discharge Injunction on MARCH 16, 2020 Conduct is so unreasonable as to

constitute contempt under 11 USC 105 (a) as set forth in <u>Taggart v. Lorenzen</u>, 139 S. Ct. 1795, 1799 (2019), and award the Debtor the following:

- I. A second Court Order enjoining *Eagle Fence, Inc. & Freeborn & Peters LLP* from any efforts at collecting on *Eagle Fence, Inc.'s* July 1, 2015 State

 Court Judgment in 2015 L 169;
- II. All the Debtors payments made to Eagle Fence, Inc. since Dec. 21,
 2016 of \$7,700 in violation of 11 USC 362 & 11 USC 524 (a)(1),(2), &
 (3);
- III. All the Debtors attorneys fee's incurred since March 23, 2018, the date the Creditors Adversary in *17-00356* was dismissed with prejudice by the Creditor, in enforcing the Post Discharge Injunction under *11 USC 524* pursuant to *11 USC 105 (a)*;
- IV. And award the Debtor Punitive Damages under 11 USC 105 (a) from Eagle Fence, Inc. & Freeborn & Peters LLP to discourage this creditor, As well as their law firm, from engaging in this kind of conduct against the Debtor, and other similarly situated innocent Discharged Ch. 7 debtors in the future.

Law Office of Gary N. Foley 3913 Farmington Lane Johnsburg, Illinois 60051 GARYFOLEY@HOTMAIL.COM 847-338-7179